

# **Engineering Alternative Studies for Separations**

# Waste Generation Forecast and Characterization Study - 800 MT/year UREX+1a

WH-G-ESR-G-00051

May 2008 Revision 1

### Engineering Alternative Studies for Separations Waste Generation Forecast and Characterization Study 800MT/year UREX+1a

WH-G-ESR-G-00051 Revision 1 May 22, 2008 Page 2 of 58

### **APPROVALS**

Prepared by:

R. H. Jones Jr.

EAS Process Engineering, SRS

5-22-08

Date

Concurrence:

R. L. Geddes

EAS Process Engineering Manager, SRS

5.22.08

Date

Approved

By:

S. G. McConnell

EAS Engineering Manager, SRS

5-22-08

Date

WH-G-ESR-G-00051 Revision 1 May 22, 2008 Page 3 of 58

# **Summary of Revisions**

Issue Date	Revision	Description		
6-20-07	A	Issued for review and comment		
7-11-07	В	Issued to support NEPA documents		
12-3-07	0	Issued for approval signatures		
5-22-08	1	Deleted Appendices A through C. Renamed Appendix D to Appendix A. Corrected minor editorial mistakes. Removed OUO/AT markings. Issued for PEIS use.		

### Engineering Alternative Studies for Separations Waste Generation Forecast and Characterization Study 800MT/year UREX+1a

WH-G-ESR-G-00051 Revision 1 May 22, 2008 Page 4 of 58

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

App	provals	2				
Sum	nmary of Revisions	3				
Tab!	ole of Contents	4				
Acro	ronyms	5				
Exe	ecutive Summary	6				
1.0	Purpose	7				
2.0	Methodology	7				
3.0	C.7					
4.0	Facility Level Waste Summary	10				
5.0	System Level Waste Summary	13				
	5.1 High Level Solid Waste	14				
	5.2 GTCC Solid Waste	16				
	5.3 Mixed GTCC Solid Waste	19				
	5.4 Low Level Solid Waste	21				
	5.5 Mixed Low Level Solid Waste	24				
	5.6 Low Activity Liquid Waste	27				
	5.7 Hazardous Solid Waste	29				
	5.8 Hazardous Liquid Waste	31				
	5.9 Non-hazardous Solid Waste	33				
	5.10 Non-hazardous Liquid Waste	35				

WH-G-ESR-G-00051 Revision 1 May 22, 2008 Page 5 of 58

### **ACRONYMS**

BOP Balance of Plant

CCD Chlorinated cobalt dicarbollide CFR Code of Federal Regulations

DOE Department of Energy

EAS Engineering Alternative Studies

FP Fission Product
GTCC Greater Than Class C
GWD Giga-watt Days
HAW High Activity Waste

HEPA High Efficiency Particulate Air

HLW High Level Waste

HVAC Heating Ventilating and Air Conditioning

LAW Low Activity Waste LLW Low Level Waste

MT Metric ton

MTHM Metric Ton Heavy Metal

nCi nano-Curies

PDS Process Data Sheet PEG Polyethylene Glycol

RCRA Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

SLB2 Standard Large Box 2 SWB Standard Waste Box UREX Uranium Extraction U/TRU Uranium/Transuranic

TALSPEAK Trivalent Actinide-Lanthanide Separation by Phosphorous reagent Extraction from

Aqueous Komplexes

TCLP Toxicity Characteristic Leachate Procedure

TRU Transuranic

TRUEX Transuranic Extraction
WIPP Waste Isolation Pilot Plant

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This study provides an estimate of waste generation rates for a spent fuel separations facility with a production capacity of 800 MTHM of commercial spent fuel. Table EX-1 below provides a facility level summary of the estimated normal annual waste generation rates.

Table EX-1 Facility Level Waste Generation Summary for a 800 MTHM Spent Fuel Separations Facility					
Waste Type	Volume				
High Level Solid	204 m <sup>3</sup>				
GTCC Solid	956 m <sup>3</sup>				
Mixed GTCC Solid	59 m <sup>3</sup>				
Low Level Solid	25,021 m <sup>3</sup>				
Mixed Low Level Solid	$16.0 \text{ m}^3$				
Low Activity Liquid	2,156 liters				
Hazardous Solid	$47 \text{ m}^3$				
Hazardous Liquid	100 liters				
Non-Hazardous Solid	30,322 m <sup>3</sup>				
Non-Hazardous Liquid	248x10 <sup>6</sup> liters				

The waste volumes given above in Table EX-1 are "as generated" and do not consider any increases in volume due to packaging inefficiencies or any decreases in volume due to waste minimization or volume reduction techniques such as compaction. The table below provides a summary of the volume of solid waste streams with considerations made for packing efficiency and volume reduction where applicable.

Table EX-2 Packaged Solid Waste Volumes for a 800 MTHM Spent Fuel Separations Facility						
Waste Type Packaged Waste Volume – No Compaction (m³) Valued Sample State Volume – With Compaction (m³)						
High Level Solid	221	Not Applicable				
GTCC Solid	1,250	Not Applicable				
Mixed GTCC Solid	77	Not Applicable				
Low Level Solid	30,988	7,936				
Mixed Low Level Solid	32	Not Applicable				
Hazardous Solid	93	Not Applicable				
Non-Hazardous Solid	37,903	16,463				

Engineering Alternative Studies for Separations Waste Generation Forecast and Characterization Study 800MT/year UREX+1a

WH-G-ESR-G-00051 Revision 1 May 22, 2008 Page 7 of 58

Much of the data to support the development of this study is derived from the Process Data Sheets (PDS) and the Process Flow Diagrams/Material Balance. The most recent versions of these documents were used at the time this report was prepared. This study builds upon the waste generation data developed for the PDSs and the Material Balance with additional information obtained from the system Subject Matter Experts as needed to further identify, quantify and clarify the waste streams.

Operational experience from across the DOE complex was utilized to estimate the more routine waste streams such as glovebox HEPA filters, gloves, job control waste and maintenance waste from these systems and other Balance of Plant systems. Waste data for Balance of Plant systems is determined based on facility layouts, concepts and staffing levels available at the time this study was prepared.

WH-G-ESR-G-00051 Revision 1 May 22, 2008 Page 8 of 58

### 1.0 PURPOSE

This study provides an estimate of waste generation rates for a spent fuel separations facility with a production capacity of 800 MTHM of commercial spent fuel. The study includes refinements to the flowsheets and material balance for treating the spent diluent waste streams from the solvent extraction processes and the excess acid prior to waste water treatment. These refinements eliminate the spent diluent as a waste stream and reduce the quantity of effluent and grout from waste water treatment operations. Also, the study assumes HEPA filtration for process cell exhaust ventilation.

### 2.0 METHODOLOGY

Much of the data to support the development of this study is derived from the Process Data Sheets (PDS) and the Process Flow Diagrams/Material Balance. The most recent versions of these documents were used at the time this report was prepared. This study builds upon the waste generation data developed for the PDSs and the Material Balance with additional information obtained from the system Subject Matter Experts as needed to further identify, quantify and clarify the waste streams.

Operational experience from across the DOE complex was utilized to estimate the more routine waste streams such as glovebox HEPA filters, gloves, job control waste and maintenance waste from these systems and other Balance of Plant systems. Waste data for Balance of Plant systems is determined based on facility layouts, concepts and staffing levels available at the time this study was prepared.

A methodical approach to forecasting the waste was used. Waste was categorized as follows to provide a systematic approach to the waste forecast.

Operational Waste Job Control Waste Maintenance Waste

WH-G-ESR-G-00051 Revision 1 May 22, 2008 Page 9 of 58

To aid in categorizing the various waste streams once identified, a Waste Characterization Code was utilized to help group and characterize the waste streams by waste type (e.g. HLW, GTCC, LLW, hazardous, mixed, and non-hazardous), waste form (e.g. solid, liquid) and disposition method (e.g. waste package). These codes are unique to this Study and are not to be confused with waste codes used for regulatory purposes. The Waste Characterization Code is composed of the following:

### 1<sup>st</sup> Character – Primary Waste Designation

- G Greater than Class C waste (also used for liquid High Activity Waste)
- H High level waste
- L Low level waste (also used for liquid Low Activity Waste)
- N Non-radioactive Waste

### 2<sup>nd</sup> Character – Secondary Waste Designation

- H Hazardous (contains RCRA hazardous constituents)
- N Non-hazardous (does not contain RCRA hazardous constituents)

### 3<sup>rd</sup> Character – Waste Form Designation

- L Liquid
- S Solid

# 4<sup>th</sup> Character –Disposal Package/Method

- A Universal canister for hulls, hardware, etc. (2' diameter x 10' high)
- C High level waste canister (2' diameter x 15' high)
- D Drum (55 gallon)
- E Engineered container yet to be designed
- G GTCC waste disposal container (assumed similar to WIPP standard waste box (SWB) or Standard Large Box 2 (SLB2)
- L Low level waste disposal box (typical size of 4'D x 6'L x 4'H)
- O Outfall
- S Solidified low level waste disposal box (typical size of 4'D x 6'L x 2'H)
- U Unspecified (typically used for sanitary municipal landfill waste where no specific disposal package is specified)

WH-G-ESR-G-00051 Revision 1 May 22, 2008 Page 10 of 58

### 3.0 MAJOR ASSUMPTIONS

The following major assumptions were made in conducting this study:

- This study identifies waste streams resulting from normal spent fuel separations operations.
   Waste resulting from accident conditions or decommissioning operations is not evaluated in this study.
- 2. Waste generation rates are based on a processing capacity of 800 MTHM of spent fuel per year (spent fuel with a burnup of 60 GWD/MT and cooled 5 years). Waste generation rates for a 100 MTHM/year spent fuel separations facility are provided in Appendix A.
- 3. All waste generated within process cells handling separated transuranic elements (e.g. U/TRU solidification and packaging) is considered GTCC waste.
- 4. All waste generated within process rooms where radioactive materials are processed is considered low level waste. Waste generated within rooms where radioactive material is processed in a sealed manner (e.g. Tc Alloy Storage, U/TRU Storage, etc.) is not considered low level waste.

### 4.0 FACILITY LEVEL WASTE SUMMARY

The table below provides a facility level summary of the estimated annual waste generation rates for a spent fuel separation facility with a processing capacity of 800 MTHM per year.

Table 4.0-1 Facility Level Waste Generation Summary for a 800 MTHM Spent Fuel Separations Facility					
Waste Type	Volume				
High Level Solid	204 m <sup>3</sup>				
GTCC Solid	956 m <sup>3</sup>				
Mixed GTCC Solid	59 m <sup>3</sup>				
Low Level Solid	$25,021 \text{ m}^3$				
Mixed Low Level Solid	$16.0 \mathrm{m}^3$				
Low Activity Liquid	2,156 liters				
Hazardous Solid	47 m <sup>3</sup>				
Hazardous Liquid	100 liters				
Non-Hazardous Solid	30,322 m <sup>3</sup>				
Non-Hazardous Liquid	248x10 <sup>6</sup> liters				

The waste volumes given above in Table 4.0-1 are "as generated" and do not consider any increases in volume due to packaging inefficiencies or any decreases in volume due to waste minimization or volume reduction techniques such as compaction. The table below provides a summary of the volume of solid waste streams with considerations made for packing efficiency and volume reduction where applicable.

Table 4.0-2 Packaged Solid Waste Volumes for a 800 MTHM Spent Fuel Separations Facility					
Waste Type Packaged Waste Volume – No Compaction (m³) Packaged Waste Volume – With Compaction (m³)					
High Level Solid	221	Not Applicable			
GTCC Solid	1,250	Not Applicable			
Mixed GTCC Solid	77	Not Applicable			
Low Level Solid	30,988	7,936			
Mixed Low Level Solid	32	Not Applicable			
Hazardous Solid	93	Not Applicable			
Non-Hazardous Solid	37,903	16,463			

### Waste streams are defined as follows:

- High level waste is defined in the *Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982*, as amended, as: "(a) the highly radioactive material resulting from the reprocessing of spent nuclear fuel, including liquid waste produced directly in reprocessing and any solid material derived from such liquid waste that contains fission products in sufficient concentrations; and (b) other highly radioactive material that the Commission, consistent with existing law, determines by rule requires permanent isolation."
- Greater Than Class C (GTCC) Solid Radioactive solid waste with a radionuclide content greater than the values shown below in Table 4.0-2 (derived from 10CFR61.55):

<b>Table 4.0-2</b>						
<b>Greater Than Class C Waste Limits</b>						
Radionuclide	Content					
<sup>14</sup> C	8 Ci/m <sup>3</sup>					
<sup>14</sup> C in activated metal	80 Ci/m <sup>3</sup>					
<sup>59</sup> Ni in activated metal	220 Ci/m <sup>3</sup>					
<sup>94</sup> Nb in activated metal	0.2 Ci/m <sup>3</sup>					
<sup>99</sup> Tc	3 Ci/m <sup>3</sup>					
$^{129}I$	0.08 Ci/m <sup>3</sup>					
Alpha emitting transuranic nuclides	100 nCi/g					
with halflife greater than 5 years						
<sup>241</sup> Pu	3,500 nCi/g					
<sup>242</sup> Cm	20,000 nCi/g					
Total of all nuclides with less than 5	Note 1					
year halflife						
<sup>3</sup> H	Note 1					
<sup>60</sup> Co	Note 1					
<sup>63</sup> Ni	700 Ci/m <sup>3</sup>					
<sup>63</sup> Ni in activated metal	7,000 Ci/m <sup>3</sup>					
<sup>90</sup> Sr	7,000 Ci/m <sup>3</sup>					
<sup>137</sup> Cs	4,600 Ci/m <sup>3</sup>					

- 1. There are no limits established for these radionuclides in Class C wastes. Practical considerations such as the effects of external radiation and internal heat generation on transportation, handling and disposal will limit the concentrations for these wastes.
- Mixed GTCC Solid GTCC Solid waste containing hazardous constituents as defined by the Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA) in 40 CFR Part 261 (see Hazardous Solid waste below).

WH-G-ESR-G-00051 Revision 1 May 22, 2008 Page 13 of 58

- High Activity Liquid Radioactive liquid waste with a relatively high level of alpha and gamma activity and a relatively low fissile concentration. Based on operations at the Savannah River Site, high activity liquid waste typically has an alpha activity greater than 1000 d/m/mL, a gamma activity level greater than 1x10<sup>5</sup> d/m/ml, a TRU concentration of less than 1 g/L TRU and a uranium content less than 0.65 weight percent U-235. Typically, process streams with concentrations greater than 1 g/L TRU or 0.65 weight percent U-235 are assumed to be recycled for recovery and are not considered waste. Actual threshold values for high activity waste generated by spent fuel separations operations will be determined during operational planning activities.
- Low Level Solid Radioactive solid waste with a radionuclide content less than or equal to the values shown for GTCC waste in Table 4.0-2.
- Mixed Low Level Solid Low level solid waste containing hazardous constituents as defined by the Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA) in 40 CFR 261 (see Hazardous Solid waste below).
- Low Activity Liquid Radioactive liquid waste with a relatively low level of alpha and gamma activity. Based on operations at the Savannah River Site, low activity liquid waste typically has an alpha activity less than 1000 d/m/mL and a gamma activity less than 1x10<sup>5</sup> d/m/ml. Actual threshold values for low activity waste generated by spent fuel separations operations will be determined during operational planning activities.
- Mixed Low Activity Liquid Low activity liquid waste containing hazardous constituents as defined by the Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA) in 40 CFR 261 (see Hazardous Liquid waste above).
- Hazardous Solid Non-radioactive solid waste containing hazardous constituents as defined by the Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA) in 40 CFR 261. RCRA hazardous waste can contain either characteristically hazardous materials or listed hazardous materials. Characteristic waste displays at least one of the hazardous characteristics as defined by 40 CFR Part 261, Subpart C, such as ignitability, corrosivity, reactivity or toxicity by leach testing (Toxicity Characteristic Leachate Procedure (TCLP)). Listed wastes are defined by 40 CFR Part 261.
- Hazardous Liquid Non-radioactive liquid waste containing hazardous constituents as defined by the Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA) in 40 CFR 261. RCRA hazardous waste can contain either characteristically hazardous materials or listed hazardous materials. Characteristic waste displays at least one of the hazardous characteristics as defined by 40 CFR Part 261, Subpart C, such as ignitability, corrosivity, reactivity or toxicity by leach testing (Toxicity Characteristic Leachate Procedure (TCLP)). Listed wastes are defined by 40 CFR Part 261.
- Non-Hazardous Solid Non-radioactive solid waste that does not contain hazardous materials as defined by the Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA) in 40 CFR 261.
- Non-Hazardous Liquid Non-radioactive liquid waste that does not contain hazardous materials as defined by the Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA) in 40 CFR 261.

WH-G-ESR-G-00051 Revision 1 May 22, 2008 Page 14 of 58

### 5.0 SYSTEM LEVEL WASTE SUMMARY

The tables contained in this section identify the various waste streams by system. The totals in each table are reflected in the facility level waste generation summary contained above in Table 4.0-1. Each waste stream is further distinguished by the Waste Characterization Code as defined in Section 2.0. The system names in the tables are shortened to the following names for practicality:

Fuel Receipt Fuel Receipt, Storage, Disassembly and Transfer

Shearing/Dissolving
Offgas
Offgas
Capture and Disposal

UREX UREX

U/Tc Separation U/Tc Separation

Tc Solidification Tc Solidification, Alloying, Packaging, Storage and Disposal

U Solidification U Solidification, Packaging, Storage and Disposal

CCD/PEG CCD/PEG

Cs/Sr Solidification Cs/Sr Solidification, Packaging, Storage and Disposal

TRUEX TRUEX

FP Solidification Fission Product Solidification, Packaging, Storage and Disposal

TALSPEAK TALSPEAK

U/TRU Solidification U/TRU Solidification, Packaging, Storage and Shipping

Acid Recovery
Solvent Recovery
HAW
High Activity Waste
LAW
Low Activity Waste
Waste Handling
Waste Handling

Analytical Sampling and Analytical

Chemical Receipt, Storage and Makeup

Balance of Plant Balance of Plant

#### **5.1 High Level Solid Waste** (Waste Characterization Codes HHSx and HNSx)

		Table 5.	.1-1			
System Level Summary Of High Level Solid Waste						
	Waste			e Volume (m³)		
System	Characterization Code	Operational	Job Control	Maintenance	Subtotal	
Fuel Receipt						
Shearing/Dissolving						
Offgas						
UREX						
U/Tc Separation						
Tc Solidification	HNSA	2.7			2.7	
U Solidification						
CCD/PEG						
Cs/Sr Solidification	HHSE	77.4			77.4	
TRUEX						
FP Solidification	HNSC	123.5			123.5	
TALSPEAK						
U/TRU						
Solidification						
Acid Recovery						
Solvent Recovery						
HAW						
LAW						
Waste Handling						
Analytical						
Chemical Receipt						
Balance of Plant			·		·	
Subtotal		203.6			203.6	
TOTAL			203.6			

Waste Characterization Codes used:

H-high level waste

1<sup>st</sup> character 2<sup>nd</sup> character 3<sup>rd</sup> character H- hazardous, N-non-hazardous

4<sup>th</sup> character A-universal canister for hulls, hardware, etc., C-High level waste canister, E-engineered container

WH-G-ESR-G-00051 Revision 1 May 22, 2008 Page 16 of 58

The following Waste Characterization Codes are reflected in Table 5.1-1.

### Waste Characterization Code: HHSE

*Description*: Wastes covered by this Code are immobilized high level wastes containing hazardous constituents

*Example(s)*:

- Solidified Cs/Sr waste from Cs/Sr Solidification, Packaging, Storage and Disposal *Disposition Path*: Package into engineered waste canisters for disposal at a geologic high level waste repository

Technical Issues:

- The waste form requires qualification for disposal at the high level waste repository if not bounded by existing waste form qualification programs

### Waste Characterization Code: HNSA

*Description*: Wastes covered by this Code are immobilized high level wastes in a metal alloy form *Example(s)*:

- Zr alloy with Tc and undissolved solids from Tc Solidification, Alloying, Packaging, Storage and Disposal

Disposition Path: Package into high level waste canisters 2' diameter x 10' high for disposal at a geologic high level waste repository

Technical Issues:

- The waste form requires qualification for disposal at the high level waste repository

### Waste Characterization Code: HNSC

Description: Wastes covered by this Code are immobilized high level wastes in a vitrified glass form

*Example(s)*:

- Vitrified fission products and lanthanides from Fission Product Solidification, Packaging, Storage and Shipping

Disposition Path: Package into high level waste canisters 2' diameter x 15' high for disposal at a geologic high level waste repository

Technical Issues:

- The waste form requires qualification for disposal at the high level waste repository if not bounded by existing waste form qualification programs

The following table summarizes the total annual volume of high level solid waste generated by final waste package type (i.e. universal containers and high level waste containers). Also shown in the table is the equivalent quantity of waste packages required for the given waste volume. Universal containers are assumed to be 2' diameter by 10' tall (0.9 m³ packaged volume) and contain 3,600 kg of Tc alloy ingots per container at a density of 7 g/cc. High level waste containers are assumed to be 2' diameter by 15' tall (1.3 m³ packaged volume) and contain 2,909 kg of glass waste per container at a density of 2.5 g/cc.

<b>Table 5.1-2</b>							
	Summary Of High Level Solid Waste Packages						
Universal Containe	Universal Containers High Level Waste Containers Engineered Containers						
Annual Waste Volume	Annual	Annual Waste Volume	Annual	Annual Waste Volume	Annual		
$(m^3)$	Package	$(m^3)$	Package	$(m^3)$	Package		
	Quantity		Quantity		Quantity		
2.7	6	123.5	106	77.4	4,075		

The following table summarizes the total annual waste volume as packaged.

Table 5.1-3 Summary Of Packaged High Level Solid Waste Volume						
Universal Containe	ers	High Level Waste Co.	ntainers	Engineered Contai	ners	
Annual Package Quantity	Annual	Annual Package	Annual	Annual Package	Annual	
(From Table 5.1-2)	Packaged	Quantity	Packaged	Quantity	Packaged	
	Volume	(From Table 5.1-2)	Volume		Volume	
	$(m^3)$		$(m^3)$		$(m^3)$	
6	5.4	106	137.8	4,075	77.4	

From Table 5.1-3 above, the overall volume of high level solid waste adjusted for packaging is 220.6 m<sup>3</sup>.

#### 5.2 **GTCC Solid Waste** (Waste Characterization Code GNSx)

<b>Table 5.2-1</b>								
	System Level Summary Of GTCC Solid Waste							
	Waste	Annual Waste Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )						
System	Characterization Code	Operational	Job Control	Maintenance	Subtotal			
Fuel Receipt								
Shearing/Dissolving	GNSA	57.8			57.8			
	GNSG			0.3	0.3			
Offgas	GNSE			0.2	0.2			
	GNSG	16.0			16.0			
UREX					0			
U/Tc Separation	GNSE			0.5	0.5			
	GNSG		112.8		112.8			
Tc Solidification	GNSG	0.1	112.8		112.9			
U Solidification					0			
CCD/PEG	GNSG		112.8		112.8			
Cs/Sr Solidification	GNSE			11.9	11.9			
	GNSG		169.2		169.2			
TRUEX	GNSE			27.2	27.2			
FP Solidification					0			
TALSPEAK	GNSE			26.6	26.6			
	GNSG		112.8		112.8			
U/TRU	GNSE			3.4	3.4			
Solidification	GNSG	7.4	169.2	10.0	186.6			
Acid Recovery					0			
Solvent Recovery					0			
HAW					0			
LAW					0			
Waste Handling	GNSG	4.8			4.8			
Analytical								
Chemical Receipt								
Balance of Plant								
Subtotal		86.1	789.6	80.1	955.8			
TOTAL			955.8					

Waste Characterization Codes used:

1<sup>st</sup> character 2<sup>nd</sup> character 3<sup>rd</sup> character 4<sup>th</sup> character G-greater than Class C N-non-hazardous

S-solid

A-universal canister for hulls, hardware, etc., E-engineered container, G-GTCC waste disposal

container

WH-G-ESR-G-00051 Revision 1 May 22, 2008 Page 19 of 58

The following Waste Characterization Codes are reflected in Table 5.2-1.

### Waste Characterization Code: GNSA

Description: Wastes covered by this Code are GTCC solid wastes requiring disposal in a geologic repository

### Example(s):

- Compacted hulls and hardware from Shearing and Dissolving

Disposition Path: Package into high level waste canisters 2' diameter x 10' high for disposal at a geologic repository

### Technical Issues:

- The waste form requires qualification for disposal at the high level waste repository
- The repository for GTCC waste has not been identified

### Waste Characterization Code: GNSE

*Description*: Wastes covered by this Code are GTCC solid wastes requiring disposal in a geologic repository that are <u>not</u> suitable for packaging into "standard" GTCC waste containers (Standard GTCC waste containers are assumed to be similar to those currently accepted at WIPP such as the standard waste box (SWB) and the standard large box 2 (SLB2)) *Example(s)*:

- Large failed equipment from the following systems:
  - Offgas Capture and Disposal
  - U/Tc Separation
  - Cs/Sr Solidification, Packaging, Storage and Disposal
  - TRUEX
  - TALSPEAK
  - U/TRU Solidification, Packaging, Storage and Shipping

Disposition Path: Package into engineered containers designed to meet the requirements of the GTCC waste repository

### Technical Issues:

- The repository for GTCC waste has not been identified
- Waste Acceptance Criteria for the GTCC repository are not developed
- Design criteria for GTCC waste disposal packages are not known

WH-G-ESR-G-00051 Revision 1 May 22, 2008 Page 20 of 58

### Waste Characterization Code: GNSG

*Description*: Wastes covered by this Code are GTCC solid wastes requiring disposal in a geologic repository that are suitable for packaging into "standard" GTCC waste containers (Standard GTCC waste containers are assumed to be similar to those currently accepted at WIPP such as the standard waste box (SWB) and the standard large box 2 (SLB2)) *Example(s)*:

- Absorbed iodine on silver mordenite from the Offgas Capture and Disposal system
- Absorbed <sup>14</sup>C on CaCO<sub>3</sub> from the Offgas Capture and Disposal system
- Used molds from the Tc Solidification, Alloying, Packaging, Storage and Disposal system
- Empty U/TRU storage containers from the U/TRU Solidification, Packaging, Storage and Shipping system
- Used shear blades from Shearing and Dissolving

Disposition Path: Package into GTCC waste containers designed to meet the requirements of the GTCC waste repository

### Technical Issues:

- The repository for GTCC waste has not been identified
- Waste Acceptance Criteria for the GTCC repository are not developed
- Design criteria for GTCC waste disposal packages are not known
- The use of WIPP approved (or WIPP-like) containers may not be allowed at the GTCC repository

The following table summarizes the total annual volume of GTCC solid waste generated by final waste package type (i.e. universal containers, engineered containers and "standard" containers). Also shown in the table is the equivalent quantity of waste packages required for the given waste volume. Since engineered containers are yet to be designed, no equivalent package quantity is calculated. Universal containers are assumed to be 2' diameter by 10' tall (0.9 m³ packaged volume) and contain 3,600 kg of compacted hulls and hardware per container at a density of 4.35 g/cc. "Standard" GTCC waste disposal boxes are assumed to be equivalent to WIPP standard waste boxes (SWBs) with an internal volume of 1.6 m³ (1.7 m³ packaged volume) and loaded with an 80% packaging efficiency.

<b>Table 5.2-2</b>						
Summary Of GTCC Solid Waste Packages						
Universal Co	Universal Containers Engineered Containers "Standard" Containers					
Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual	
Waste	Package	Waste	Package	Waste	Package	
Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Quantity	Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Quantity	Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Quantity	
57.8	70	69.8		828.5	647	

The following table summarizes the total annual waste volume as packaged.

Table 5.2-3 Summary Of Packaged GTCC Solid Waste Volume							
Universal Cont	ainers	Engineered Cor	ntainers	"Standard" Cont	tainers		
Annual Package Quantity (From Table 5.2-2)	Annual Packaged Volume	Annual Package Quantity (From Table 5.2-2)	Annual Packaged Volume	Annual Package Quantity (From Table 5.2-2)	Annual Packaged Volume		
(11011111111111111111111111111111111111	$(m^3)$	(110111 141010 3.2 2)	$(m^3)$	(110111 141010 3.2 2)	$(m^3)$		
70	63.0	Unknown	87.3 (69.8÷0.80)	647	1,100.0		

From Table 5.2-3 above, the overall volume of GTCC solid waste adjusted for packaging is 1,250.3  $m^3$ .

#### 5.3 **Mixed GTCC Solid Waste (Waste Characterization Code GHSx)**

	<b>Table 5.3-1</b>							
System Level Summary Of Mixed GTCC Solid Waste								
	Waste		Annual Wast	e Volume (m³)				
System	Characterization Code	Operational	Job Control	Maintenance	Subtotal			
Fuel Receipt								
Shearing/Dissolving								
Offgas								
UREX								
U/Tc Separation								
Tc Solidification	GHSE			3.6	3.6			
U Solidification								
CCD/PEG								
Cs/Sr Solidification	GHSE			20.9	20.9			
TRUEX								
FP Solidification	GHSE			34.1	34.1			
TALSPEAK								
U/TRU								
Solidification								
Acid Recovery								
Solvent Recovery								
HAW								
LAW								
Waste Handling		·			·			
Analytical		·			·			
Chemical Receipt								
Balance of Plant								
Subtotal				58.6	58.6			
TOTAL			58.6					

Waste Characterization Codes used:

1<sup>st</sup> character G-greater than Class C

2<sup>nd</sup> character 3<sup>rd</sup> character 4<sup>th</sup> character H-hazardous S-solid

E-engineered container

WH-G-ESR-G-00051 Revision 1 May 22, 2008 Page 23 of 58

The following Waste Characterization Codes are reflected in Table 5.3-1.

### Waste Characterization Code: GHSE

*Description*: Wastes covered by this Code are GTCC solid wastes containing hazardous constituents requiring disposal in a geologic repository that are <u>not</u> suitable for packaging into "standard" GTCC waste containers (Standard GTCC waste containers are assumed to be similar to those currently accepted at WIPP such as the standard waste box (SWB) and the standard large box 2 (SLB2)) *Example(s)*:

- Large failed equipment from the following systems:
  - Tc Solidification, Alloying, Packaging, Storage and Disposal
  - Cs/Sr Solidification, Packaging, Storage and Disposal
  - Fission Product Solidification, Packaging, Storage and Disposal

Disposition Path: Package into engineered containers designed to meet the requirements of the GTCC waste repository

### Technical Issues:

- The repository for GTCC waste has not been identified
- Waste Acceptance Criteria for the GTCC repository are not developed
- Design criteria for GTCC waste disposal packages are not known
- The GTCC repository may not accept GTCC wastes with hazardous constituents or additional treatment may be required prior to disposal

Since all the mixed GTCC waste identified in Table 5.3-1 is designated to be disposed of in engineered containers that are yet to be designed, no equivalent package quantity is calculated. Assuming an 80% packing efficiency and a 5% increase in volume from the package itself, the packaged mixed GTCC waste volume is  $76.9 \text{ m}^3$  ( $58.6 \div 0.80 \text{ x } 1.05 = 76.9$ ).

# 5.4 Low Level Solid Waste (Waste Characterization Code LNSx)

		Table 5.	4-1					
	System Level Summary Of Low Level Solid Waste							
	Waste		Annual Waste	Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )				
System	Characterization Code	Operational	Job Control	Maintenance	Subtotal			
Fuel Receipt	LNSE	48.0			48.0			
	LNSH	33.1		8.0	41.1			
	LNSL	144.3	693.0	14.5	851.8			
Shearing/Dissolving	LNSE		0	27.1	27.1			
	LNSL		481.2	2.9	484.1			
Offgas	LNSE	107.6	0	2.1	109.7			
	LNSL		33.6	0	33.6			
UREX	LNSE		0	39.2	39.2			
	LNSL		202.2	0	202.2			
U/Tc Separation	LNSE		0	11.6	11.6			
	LNSL		86.2	10.3	96.5			
Tc Solidification	LNSE		0	5.9	5.9			
	LNSL		102.2	10.3	112.5			
U Solidification	LNSE		0	27.0	27.0			
	LNSL		389.7	12.7	402.4			
CCD/PEG	LNSE		0	38.9	38.9			
	LNSL		76.6	0	76.6			
Cs/Sr Solidification	LNSE		0	8.3	8.3			
	LNSL		233.3	3.4	236.7			
TRUEX	LNSE		0	16.5	16.5			
	LNSL		202.2	0	202.2			
FP Solidification	LNSE		0	57.3	57.3			
	LNSL		580.1	10.7	590.8			
TALSPEAK	LNSE		0	16.8	16.8			
	LNSL		76.6	0	76.6			
U/TRU	LNSE		0	1.4	1.4			
Solidification	LNSL	2.5	204.5	6.6	213.6			
Acid Recovery	LNSE		0	21.6	21.6			
·	LNSL		105.9	10.0	115.9			
Solvent Recovery	LNSE		0	82.6	82.6			
•	LNSL		719.2	0	719.2			
HAW	LNSE		0	50.5	50.5			
	LNSL		181.9	0	181.9			
LAW	LNSE		0	27.9	27.9			
	LNSL		181.9	0	181.9			
Waste Handling	LNSL	135.5	1,243.9	4.7	1,384.1			
Analytical	LNSL		1,868.7	7.0	1,875.7			
Chemical Receipt			0	0	0			
Balance of Plant	LNSE		0	137.0	137.0			
	LNSL		7,848.9	7,165.0	15,013.9			
	LNSS	1,200.0	0	0	1,200.0			
Subtotal		1,671.0	15,511.8	7,837.8	25,020.6			
TOTAL		, -	25,020.6	,	,			

WH-G-ESR-G-00051 Revision 1 May 22, 2008 Page 25 of 58

### Waste Characterization Codes used:

1<sup>st</sup> character L-low level 2<sup>nd</sup> character N-non-hazardous

3<sup>rd</sup> character S-solid

4<sup>th</sup> character E-engineered container, H-high integrity container, L-low level waste disposal box, S-solidified low

level waste disposal box

The following Waste Characterization Codes are reflected in Table 5.4-1.

### Waste Characterization Code: LNSE

*Description*: Wastes covered by this Code are low level solid wastes that are <u>not</u> suitable for packaging into standard low level waste containers *Example(s)*:

- Large failed equipment from most systems
- Used multi-purpose canisters from Fuel Receipt, Storage, Disassembly and Transfer
- Absorbed <sup>3</sup>H on zeolite from the Offgas Capture and Disposal system
- Absorbed Kr and Xe on H-mordenite from the Offgas Capture and Disposal system
- Absorbed Ru on filter media from the Offgas Capture and Disposal system

Disposition Path: Package into engineered containers designed to meet the requirements of the low level waste disposal facility. Some wastes will require decay storage prior to disposal.

### Technical Issues:

- The low level waste disposal facility is site specific and not yet identified
- Waste Acceptance Criteria for the low level waste disposal facility are not definite
- Design criteria for the low level waste disposal packages are not definite

### Waste Characterization Code: LNSH

*Description*: Wastes covered by this Code are low level solid wastes that are suitable for packaging into standard high integrity low level waste containers *Example(s)*:

- Filters, solids and resins from Fuel Receipt, Storage, Disassembly and Transfer *Disposition Path*: Package into high integrity containers designed to meet the requirements of the low level waste disposal facility.

### Technical Issues:

- The low level waste disposal facility is site specific and not yet identified
- Waste Acceptance Criteria for the low level waste disposal facility are not definite

WH-G-ESR-G-00051 Revision 1 May 22, 2008 Page 26 of 58

### Waste Characterization Code: LNSL

*Description*: Wastes covered by this Code are low level solid wastes that are suitable for packaging into standard low level waste containers

### Example(s):

- Machining chips from Fuel Receipt, Storage, Disassembly and Transfer
- Job control waste from most systems
- Contaminated piping and valves from many systems
- Minor maintenance waste from most systems such as ventilation filters, HEPA filters, glovebox gloves, failed piping and valves, manipulator arms, manipulator boots, etc.

Disposition Path: Package into containers designed to meet the requirements of the low level waste disposal facility.

### Technical Issues:

- The low level waste disposal facility is site specific and not yet identified
- Waste Acceptance Criteria for the low level waste disposal facility are not definite
- Fluorescent lamps are assumed to be low mercury type lamps that meet the TCLP test for toxicity. If this is not the case and the lamps are considered hazardous (and contaminated), then the volume of mixed low level waste reflected in Table 5.5-1 will increase.

### Waste Characterization Code: LNSS

*Description*: Wastes covered by this Code are low activity aqueous liquid wastes that have been solidified as low level solid wastes that are suitable for packaging into standard low level waste containers

### Example(s):

- Solidified effluent from the Waste Water Treatment operations in the Balance of Plant system

Disposition Path: Package into containers designed to meet the requirements of the low level waste disposal facility.

### Technical Issues:

- The low level waste disposal facility is site specific and not yet identified
- Waste Acceptance Criteria for the low level waste disposal facility are not definite

Table 5.4-2 below summarizes the total annual volume of low level solid waste generated by final waste package type (i.e. engineered containers, high integrity containers and "standard" containers). Also shown in the table is the equivalent quantity of waste packages required for the given waste volume. The following assumptions are made to estimate the quantity of waste packages:

- Engineered containers are yet to be designed; therefore, no equivalent package quantity is calculated.
- High integrity containers are assumed to have a capacity of  $0.2 \text{ m}^3$  and loaded with an 80% packing efficiency (effective volume =  $0.16 \text{ m}^3$ ).
- Standard low level waste disposal boxes are assumed to have a capacity of 2.5 m<sup>3</sup> and loaded with an 80% packaging efficiency (effective volume = 2.0 m<sup>3</sup>). The 2.5 m<sup>3</sup> low level waste packages are used for typical low level solid waste.
- Waste packages with a volume of 1.2 m<sup>3</sup> are used for solidified low activity waste. No allowance for packing efficiency is made (i.e. 100% packing efficiency).

WH-G-ESR-G-00051 Revision 1 May 22, 2008 Page 27 of 58

Since the estimated waste volumes reflect the volume of waste as generated with no allowances made for waste minimization techniques such as compaction, the table also indicates an estimated quantity of standard low level waste disposal boxes assuming the waste is reduced by compaction to 25% of the original volume (with a 100% packing efficiency). The estimation of compacted package quantity assumes that 100% of the waste is suitable for compaction (applicable only to waste disposed of in 2.5 m³ low level waste disposal boxes). In reality this assumption will not be valid. Not all waste streams disposed of in 2.5 m³ standard boxes will be suitable for compaction; therefore, the actual package quantity that can be expected will lie somewhere between the uncompacted and compacted package quantities.

Table 5.4-2 Summary Of Low Level Solid Waste Packages									
Engineered Containers High Integrity Containers			Standard Containers (2.5 m³)			Standard Container (1.2 m <sup>3</sup> )			
Annual Waste Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Annual Package Quantity	Annual Waste Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Annual Package Quantity	Annual Waste Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Annual Package Quantity (Uncompacted)	Annual Package Quantity (Compacted)	Annual Waste Volume (m³)	Annual Package Quantity	
727.3		41.1	257	23,052.5	11,526	2,305	1,200	1,000	

The use of volume reduction techniques such as compaction will lower the overall volume of packaged waste generated. Table 5.4-3 below indicates the volume of low level solid waste adjusted for compaction where applicable. The following assumptions are made in addition to the assumptions above for Table 5.4-2 to estimate the quantity of waste packages:

- The waste designated for disposal in engineered containers is not likely to be suitable for compaction; therefore, the packaged volume will be slightly greater than that shown above in Table 5.4-2. A packing efficiency of 80% is assumed for waste designated for disposal in engineered containers.
- Although high integrity containers are assumed to have an internal capacity of 0.2 m<sup>3</sup>, the external volume of the package is assumed to be slightly larger at 0.25 m<sup>3</sup>.
- The external volume of standard low level waste disposal boxes is assumed to be essentially equivalent to the internal volume, i.e. 2.5 m<sup>3</sup> and 1.2 m<sup>3</sup>.

Table 5.4-3 Summary Of Packaged Low Level Solid Waste Volume									
Engineered Containers High Integrity Containers			Standard Conta	ainers	Standard Container				
			$(2.5 \text{ m}^3)$		$(1.2 \text{ m}^3)$				
Annual Waste	Annual	Annual Quantity of	Annual	Annual Quantity of	Annual	Annual Quantity of	Annual		
Volume	Packaged	Waste Packages	Packaged	Waste Packages	Packaged	Waste Packages	Packaged		
$(m^3)$	Volume	(From Table 5.4-2)	Volume	(From Table 5.4-2)	Volume	(From Table 5.4-2)	Volume		
	$(m^3)$		$(m^3)$		$(m^3)$		$(m^3)$		
727.3	909.0	257	64.0	11,526	28,815.0	1,000	1,200.0		

From Table 5.4-3 above, the overall volume of packaged low level solid waste is  $30,988 \text{ m}^3$ . Allowing for compaction as indicated in Table 5.4-2, the packaged low level solid waste volume can be reduced to  $7,936 \text{ m}^3$ .

# 5.5 Mixed Low Level Solid Waste (Waste Characterization Code LHSx)

	<b>Table 5.5-1</b>							
System Level Summary Of Mixed Low Level Solid Waste								
~	Waste		Annual Waste Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )					
System	Characterization Code	Operational	Job Control	Maintenance	Subtotal			
Fuel Receipt	LHSD			0.3	0.3			
Shearing/Dissolving	LHSD			0.8	0.8			
	LHSE			3.5	3.5			
Offgas	LHSD			0.3	0.3			
UREX	LHSD			0.3	0.3			
U/Tc Separation	LHSD			0.3	0.3			
Tc Solidification	LHSD			0.3	0.3			
U Solidification	LHSD			0.3	0.3			
CCD/PEG	LHSD			0.3	0.3			
Cs/Sr Solidification	LHSD			0.3	0.3			
TRUEX	LHSD			0.3	0.3			
FP Solidification	LHSD			0.4	0.4			
TALSPEAK	LHSD			0.3	0.3			
U/TRU	LHSD			0.3	0.3			
Solidification								
Acid Recovery	LHSD			0.3	0.3			
Solvent Recovery	LHSD			0.3	0.3			
HAW	LHSD			0.3	0.3			
LAW	LHSD			0.3	0.3			
Waste Handling	LHSD			1.5	1.5			
Analytical	LHSD			2.5	2.5			
Chemical Receipt				0	0			
Balance of Plant	LHSD			2.9	2.9			
Subtotal				16.1	16.1			
TOTAL			16.1					

Waste Characterization Codes used:

 $1^{st}$  character L-low level  $2^{nd}$  character H-hazardous  $3^{rd}$  character S-solid

4<sup>th</sup> character D-drum, E-engineered container

WH-G-ESR-G-00051 Revision 1 May 22, 2008 Page 29 of 58

The following Waste Characterization Codes are reflected in Table 5.5-1.

### Waste Characterization Code: LHSD

*Description*: Wastes covered by this Code are low level solid wastes containing hazardous constituents (mixed wastes) that are suitable for packaging into standard mixed waste disposal containers (i.e. drums)

### *Example(s)*:

- Contaminated instruments and electronic equipment from most systems
- Manipulator counterweights from several systems
- Leaded glovebox gloves from the Waste Handling system
- Contaminated lead acid batteries from the Balance of Plant system

Disposition Path: Package into containers meeting the requirements of the mixed waste treatment and disposal facility

### Technical Issues:

- The mixed waste treatment and disposal facility may be site specific and not yet identified
- Waste Acceptance Criteria for the mixed waste treatment and disposal facility are not definite
- Characterization of the waste may be difficult

### Waste Characterization Code: LHSE

*Description*: Wastes covered by this Code are low level solid wastes containing hazardous constituents (mixed wastes) that are <u>not</u> suitable for packaging into standard mixed waste disposal containers (i.e. drums)

### Example(s):

- Large failed equipment from the Shearing and Dissolving system

Disposition Path: Package into engineered containers designed to meet the requirements of the mixed waste treatment and disposal facility

### Technical Issues:

- The mixed waste treatment and disposal facility may be site specific and not yet identified
- Waste Acceptance Criteria for the mixed waste treatment and disposal facility are not definite
- Characterization of the waste may be difficult

The following table summarizes the total annual volume of mixed low level solid waste generated by final waste package type (i.e. drums and engineered containers). Also shown in the table is the equivalent quantity of waste packages required for the given waste volume. Since engineered containers are yet to be designed, no equivalent package quantity is calculated. Drums are assumed to have a capacity of 0.2 m<sup>3</sup> and loaded with a 50% packaging efficiency.

<b>Table 5.5-2</b>						
Summary Of Mixed Low Level Solid Waste Packages						
Drums		Engineered Containers				
Annual Waste Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Annual	Annual Waste Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Annual			
	Package		Package			
	Quantity		Quantity			
12.6	126	3.5				

The following table summarizes the total annual waste volume as packaged.

Table 5.5-3 Summary Of Packaged Mixed Low Level Solid Waste Volume						
Drums Engineered Containers						
Annual Package Quantity (From Table 5.5-2)	Annual Packaged Volume (m³)	Annual Package Quantity (From Table 5.5-2)	Annual Packaged Volume (m³)			
126	25.2	Unknown	7.0			
			$(3.5 \div 0.50)$			

From Table 5.2-3 above, the overall volume of mixed low level solid waste adjusted for packaging is  $32.2 \text{ m}^3$ .

### **5.6** Low Activity Liquid Waste (Waste Characterization Code LNLx)

<b>Table 5.6-1</b>								
System Level Summary Of Low Activity Liquid Waste								
	Waste	Annual Waste Volume (liters)						
System	Characterization	Operational	Job Control	Maintenance	Subtotal			
Fuel Receipt	Code LNLD			100	100			
	-							
Shearing/Dissolving	LNLD			116	116			
Offgas	LNLD			100	100			
UREX	LNLD			80	80			
U/Tc Separation	LNLD			40	40			
Tc Solidification	LNLD			100	100			
U Solidification	LNLD			100	100			
CCD/PEG	LNLD			80	80			
Cs/Sr Solidification	LNLD			60	60			
TRUEX	LNLD			160	160			
FP Solidification	LNLD			200	200			
TALSPEAK	LNLD			160	160			
U/TRU				0	0			
Solidification								
Acid Recovery	LNLD			60	60			
Solvent Recovery	LNLD			600	600			
HAW	LNLD			100	100			
LAW	LNLD			100	100			
Waste Handling								
Analytical								
Chemical Receipt								
Balance of Plant								
Subtotal				2,156	2,156			
TOTAL			2,156	_,	_,100			

Waste Characterization Codes used:

1<sup>st</sup> character L-low level (low activity)

N-non-hazardous

2<sup>nd</sup> character 3<sup>rd</sup> character 4<sup>th</sup> character L-liquid D-drum

WH-G-ESR-G-00051 Revision 1 May 22, 2008 Page 32 of 58

The following Waste Characterization Codes are reflected in Table 5.6-1.

### Waste Characterization Code: LNLD

*Description*: Wastes covered by this Code are low activity liquid wastes requiring treatment prior to disposal (typically organic)

### Example(s):

- Contaminated used oil from most systems

Disposition Path: Package into containers meeting the requirements of the treatment and disposal facility

### Technical Issues:

- The treatment and disposal facility may be site specific and not yet identified
- Waste Acceptance Criteria for the treatment and disposal facility are not definite

All of the low activity liquid waste listed in Table 5.6-1 is packaged in drums. Assuming a drum capacity of 200 liters and 90% fill, the total volume of waste listed in Table 5.6-1 is equivalent to 12.0 drums.

### 5.7 Hazardous Solid Waste (Waste Characterization Code NHSx)

Table 5.7-1							
System Level Summary Of Hazardous Solid Waste Waste Annual Waste Volume (m³)							
System	Characterization Code	Operational	Job Control	Maintenance	Subtotal		
Fuel Receipt	NHSD			1	1		
Shearing/Dissolving	NHSD			2.5	2.5		
Offgas	NHSD			1	1		
UREX	NHSD			1	1		
U/Tc Separation	NHSD			1	1		
Tc Solidification	NHSD			1	1		
U Solidification	NHSD			1	1		
CCD/PEG	NHSD			1	1		
Cs/Sr Solidification	NHSD			1	1		
TRUEX	NHSD			1	1		
FP Solidification	NHSD			1	1		
TALSPEAK	NHSD			1	1		
U/TRU	NHSD			1	1		
Solidification							
Acid Recovery	NHSD			1	1		
Solvent Recovery	NHSD			1	1		
HAW	NHSD			1	1		
LAW	NHSD			1	1		
Waste Handling	NHSD			2	2		
Analytical	NHSD			10	10		
Chemical Receipt	NHSD			1	1		
Balance of Plant	NHSD			15	15.0		
Subtotal				46.5	46.5		
TOTAL		<del></del>	46.5				

Waste Characterization Codes used:

1<sup>st</sup> character N-non-radioactive

2<sup>nd</sup> character H-hazardous

3<sup>rd</sup> character S-solid

4<sup>th</sup> character D-drum

WH-G-ESR-G-00051 Revision 1 May 22, 2008 Page 34 of 58

The following Waste Characterization Codes are reflected in Table 5.7-1.

### Waste Characterization Code: NHSD

Description: Wastes covered by this Code are non-radioactive solid wastes containing hazardous constituents

### Example(s):

- Failed instruments and electronic equipment from most systems
- Lead acid batteries from the Balance of Plant system

Disposition Path: Package into containers meeting the requirements of the hazardous waste treatment and disposal facility or package for return to a recycling vendor *Technical Issues*:

- The hazardous waste treatment and disposal facility may be site specific and not yet identified
- Waste Acceptance Criteria for the hazardous waste treatment and disposal facility are not definite

All of the hazardous solid waste listed in Table 5.7-1 is packaged in drums. Assuming a drum capacity of 0.2 m<sup>3</sup> and a packing efficiency of 50%, the total volume of waste listed in Table 5.7-1 is equivalent to 465 drums. The packaged volume is, therefore, 93 m<sup>3</sup>.

# 5.8 Hazardous Liquid Waste (Waste Characterization Code NHLx)

Table 5.8-1							
	System Level Summary Of Hazardous Liquid Waste  Waste Annual Waste Volume (liters)						
System	Characterization Code	Operational	Job Control	Maintenance	Subtotal		
Fuel Receipt							
Shearing/Dissolving							
Offgas							
UREX							
U/Tc Separation							
Tc Solidification							
U Solidification							
CCD/PEG							
Cs/Sr Solidification							
TRUEX							
FP Solidification							
TALSPEAK							
U/TRU							
Solidification							
Acid Recovery							
Solvent Recovery							
HAW							
LAW							
Waste Handling							
Analytical							
Chemical Receipt							
Balance of Plant	NHLD			100	100		
Subtotal				100	100		
TOTAL			100				

Waste Characterization Codes used:

 $\begin{array}{ll} 1^{st} \ character & N\text{-non-radioactive} \\ 2^{nd} \ character & H\text{-hazardous} \\ 3^{rd} \ character & L\text{-liquid} \\ 4^{th} \ character & D\text{-drum} \end{array}$ 

WH-G-ESR-G-00051 Revision 1 May 22, 2008 Page 36 of 58

The following Waste Characterization Codes are reflected in Table 5.8-1.

### Waste Characterization Code: NHLD

*Description*: Wastes covered by this Code are non-radioactive liquid wastes containing hazardous constituents

Example(s):

- Paint from the Balance of Plant system

Disposition Path: Package into containers meeting the requirements of the hazardous waste treatment and disposal facility or package for return to a recycling vendor *Technical Issues*:

- The hazardous waste treatment and disposal facility may be site specific and not yet identified
- Waste Acceptance Criteria for the hazardous waste treatment and disposal facility are not definite
- Spent diluent from the CCD/PEG system has not been determined at the time this report was prepared. If the diluent ultimately chosen is hazardous, then the waste will be a mixed waste with a Waste Characterization Code of LHLD and will add to the volume stated in Table 5.8-1. The CCD/PEG spent diluent is currently assumed to be non-hazardous and given a Waste Characterization Code of LNLD.
- No off-spec or out of date chemicals were identified as waste based on the assumption of a disciplined chemical procurement and inventory program and return of off-spec chemicals to the manufacturer. Any out of date or off-spec chemicals generated are assumed to be adequately captured by the Analytical system waste. If off-spec or out of date chemicals are generated and require disposal, they have the potential to be listed hazardous waste.

All of the hazardous liquid waste listed in Table 5.8-1 is packaged in drums. Assuming a drum capacity of 200 liters and 90% fill, the total volume of waste listed in Table 5.8-1 is equivalent to 0.6 drums.

#### **5.9** Non-hazardous Solid Waste (Waste Characterization Code NNSx)

		Table 5	.9-1			
	System Level S	Summary Of No	n-Hazardous S	Solid Waste		
	Waste	Waste Annual Waste Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )				
System	Characterization Code	Operational	Job Control	Maintenance	Subtotal	
Fuel Receipt						
Shearing/Dissolving	NNSU			25	25	
Offgas	NNSU			10	10	
UREX	NNSU			10	10	
U/Tc Separation				0	0	
Tc Solidification				0	0	
U Solidification				0	0	
CCD/PEG	NNSU			10	10	
Cs/Sr Solidification	NNSU			10	10	
TRUEX	NNSU			10	10	
FP Solidification	NNSU			10	10	
TALSPEAK	NNSU			10	10	
U/TRU	NNSU			10	10	
Solidification						
Acid Recovery				0	0	
Solvent Recovery	NNSU			10	10	
HAW	NNSU			10	10	
LAW	NNSU			10	10	
Waste Handling	NNSU			10	10	
Analytical	NNSU			10	10	
Chemical Receipt	NNSU			121.3	121.3	
Balance of Plant	NNSU	21,449.9		8,596	30,045.9	
Subtotal		21,449.9		8,872.3	30,322.2	
TOTAL	30,322.2					

Waste Characterization Codes used:

1<sup>st</sup> character N-non-radioactive N-non-hazardous

2<sup>nd</sup> character 3<sup>rd</sup> character 4<sup>th</sup> character S-solid U-unspecified

WH-G-ESR-G-00051 Revision 1 May 22, 2008 Page 38 of 58

The following Waste Characterization Codes are reflected in Table 5.9-1.

#### Waste Characterization Code: NNSU

*Description*: Wastes covered by this Code are non-radioactive and non-hazardous solid wastes *Example(s)*:

- Sludge from the sanitary waste water treatment operations of the Balance of Plant system
- Sanitary solid waste from the Balance of Plant system
- Clean failed piping and valves from most systems
- Failed equipment from the Chemical Receipt, Storage and Makeup system
- HVAC supply air filters, solid maintenance waste, fluorescent lamps and tires from the Balance of Plant system

Disposition Path: Package into containers meeting the requirements of the sanitary disposal facility Technical Issues:

- Fluorescent lamps are assumed to be low mercury type lamps that meet the TCLP test for toxicity. If this is not the case and the lamps are considered hazardous, then the volume of hazardous waste reflected in Table 5.7-1 will increase.

All of the non-hazardous solid waste listed in Table 5.9-1 is designated for disposal in undefined packages. Assuming a packing efficiency of 80%, the total volume of waste listed in Table 5.9-1 is equivalent to 37,902.8 m<sup>3</sup> as packaged.

The use of compaction could decrease the volume of non-hazardous solid waste. To estimate the waste volume considering compaction, it is assumed that only the sanitary solid waste  $(21,440 \text{ m}^3 \text{ out of the total } 30,322.2 \text{ m}^3)$  is compacted prior to disposal to 25% of its original volume. Based on this assumption and an 80% packing efficiency for the remainder of the non-hazardous solid waste, the overall volume of non-hazardous waste could be reduced to  $16,462.8 \text{ m}^3$  [ $(21,440 \text{ x } 0.25) + (30,322.2 - 21,440) \div 0.8 = 16,462.8$ ].

# 5.10 Non-hazardous Liquid Waste (Waste Characterization Code NNLx)

	System Level S	Table 5.1	-	ianid Waste		
	Waste	System Level Summary Of Non-Hazardous Liquid Waste Waste Annual Waste Volume (liters)				
System	Characterization Code	Operational	Job Control	Maintenance	Subtotal	
Fuel Receipt						
Shearing/Dissolving	NNLD			4,417	4,417	
	NNLO	$2.3 \times 10^6$		0	2,300,000	
Offgas				0	0	
UREX				0	0	
U/Tc Separation				0	0	
Tc Solidification	NNLD			4,417	4,417	
U Solidification	NNLO	$3.7x10^6$		0	3,700,000	
CCD/PEG	NNLO	$0.2x10^6$		0	200,000	
Cs/Sr Solidification	NNLD			5,300	5,300	
	NNLO	$0.6 \times 10^6$		0	600,000	
TRUEX				0	0	
FP Solidification	NNLD			17,667	17,667	
	NNLO	$0.4 \times 10^6$		0	400,000	
TALSPEAK				0	0	
U/TRU	NNLD			10,600	10,600	
Solidification	NNLO	$0.3x10^6$		0	300,000	
Acid Recovery	NNLO	38,736		0	38,736	
Solvent Recovery				0	0	
HAW	NNLO	$6.8 \times 10^6$		0	6,800,000	
LAW	NNLO	$1.8 \times 10^6$		0	1,800,000	
Waste Handling	NNLD			7,067	7,067	
Analytical	NNLD			3,533	3,533	
Chemical Receipt	NNLD			1,700	1,700	
Balance of Plant	NNLD			4,200	4,200	
	NNLO	$227.9 \times 10^6$		3,525,842	231,425,842	
Subtotal		244,038,736		3,584,743	247,623,479	
TOTAL			247,623,479	<u> </u>		

Waste Characterization Codes used: 1<sup>st</sup> character N-non-radioactive

2<sup>nd</sup> character 3<sup>rd</sup> character 4<sup>th</sup> character N-non-hazardous

L-liquid

D-drum, O-outfall

WH-G-ESR-G-00051 Revision 1 May 22, 2008 Page 40 of 58

The following Waste Characterization Codes are reflected in Table 5.10-1.

#### Waste Characterization Code: NNLD

*Description*: Wastes covered by this Code are non-radioactive and non-hazardous liquid wastes (typically organic)

#### Example(s):

- Used oil from shielding windows
- Used oil from the Chemical Receipt, Storage and Makeup system
- Used motor oil from the Balance of Plant system

Disposition Path: Package into containers meeting the requirements of the treatment and disposal facility

#### Technical Issues:

- Shielding window oil is assumed to be clean. There is a chance that the oil could become contaminated in service. If this is the case it will be considered low activity liquid waste.

#### Waste Characterization Code: NNLO

*Description*: Wastes covered by this Code are non-radioactive and non-hazardous liquid wastes (typically aqueous)

#### *Example(s)*:

- Sanitary liquid waste from the Balance of Plant system
- Non-contaminated steam condensate from most systems
- Treated effluent from the waste water treatment operations in the Balance of Plant system
- Fire system test water from the Balance of Plant system

Disposition Path: Release to a permitted outfall

#### Technical Issues:

- Some streams may require holdup and monitoring prior to release

58,901 liters of the non-hazardous liquid waste listed in Table 5.10-1 is packaged in drums. Assuming a drum capacity of 200 liters, the total volume of waste packaged in drums listed in Table 5.10-1 is equivalent to 295 drums.

WH-G-ESR-G-00051 Revision 1 May 22, 2008 Page 41 of 58

## Appendix A Waste Adjustment Factors for a 100 MTHM/year Facility

### **A.1** Waste Adjustment Factors

Waste estimates for a 100 MTHM/year facility are based on the estimates developed for the 800 MTHM/year facility. The 800 MTHM/year estimates are adjusted on the basis given in the following tables:

	Table A.1-1
	Operational Waste
Waste Stream	Basis
From all systems except	Most if not all of these waste streams are directly related
Balance of Plant (BOP)	to capacity.
BOP sanitary liquid	This waste stream is directly related to staffing levels
BOP sanitary sludge	This waste stream is directly related to staffing levels
BOP sanitary solid	This waste stream is based on facility footprint. It is
	estimated that the facility footprint for a 100 MTHM/year
	facility is approximately 70% of an 800 MTHM/year
	facility.
BOP Waste water	This waste stream is primarily related directly to
treatment effluent	capacity.
BOP waste water treatment	This waste stream is primarily related directly to
solidified waste	capacity.
BOP contaminated sump	This waste stream is based on facility footprint. It is
and basin water	estimated that the facility footprint for a 100 MTHM/year
	facility is approximately 70% of an 800 MTHM/year
	facility.
BOP equipment	The amount of installed equipment is probably
decontamination solutions	proportional to the facility footprint which would imply
	an adjustment factor of 0.7; however, the equipment is
	likely to be smaller, be used less often and fail less often
	making a relation to capacity more appropriate.
BOP laundry effluent	This waste stream is directly proportional to the amount
	of protective clothing laundered.

Table A.1-2 Job Control Waste			
Waste Stream Basis			
From all systems	Job control waste is directly proportional to the number of workers that enter radiological areas.		

Table A.1-3					
	Maintenance Waste				
Waste Stream	Basis				
From all systems except	In general, these waste streams, including failed				
Balance of Plant (BOP)	equipment, are directly related to capacity. The amount				
	of installed equipment is probably proportional to the				
	facility footprint which would imply an adjustment factor				
	of 0.7; however, the equipment is likely to be smaller, be				
	used less often and fail less often making a relation to				
	capacity more appropriate.				
BOP other than failed	These waste streams are primarily based on facility				
equipment	footprint. It is estimated that the facility footprint for a				
	100 MTHM/year facility is approximately 70% of an 800				
	MTHM/year facility.				
BOP failed equipment	The amount of installed equipment is probably				
	proportional to the facility footprint which would imply				
	an adjustment factor of 0.7; however, the equipment is				
	likely to be smaller, be used less often and fail less often				
	making a relation to capacity more appropriate.				

WH-G-ESR-G-00051 Revision 1 May 22, 2008 Page 43 of 58

## A.2 System Level Adjusted Waste Forecast

Using the adjustment factors above, the waste data in Sections 5.1 through 5.10 of the report can be revised as shown below for a 100 MTHM/year spent fuel separations facility. The tables in this section of Appendix A correlate to the tables in the body of the report as follows:

	Table in	<b>Table in</b>
	<b>Body of</b>	Appendix A,
Title	Report	Section A.2
System Level Summary of High Level Solid Waste	Table 5.1-1	Table A.2.1-1
Summary of High Level Solid Waste Packages	Table 5.1-2	Table A.2.1-2
Summary of Packaged High Level Solid Waste Volume	Table 5.1-3	Table A.2.1-3
System Level Summary of GTCC Solid Waste	Table 5.2-1	Table A.2.2-1
Summary of GTCC Solid Waste Packages	Table 5.2-2	Table A.2.2-2
Summary of Packaged GTCC Solid Waste Volume	Table 5.2-3	Table A.2.2-3
System Level Summary of Mixed GTCC Solid Waste	Table 5.3-1	Table A.2.3-1
System Level Summary of Low Level Solid Waste	Table 5.4-1	Table A.2.4-1
Summary of Low Level Solid Waste Packages	Table 5.4-2	Table A.2.4-2
Summary of Packaged Low Level Solid Waste Volume	Table 5.4-3	Table A.2.4-3
System Level Summary of Mixed Low Level Solid Waste	Table 5.5-1	Table A.2.5-1
Summary of Mixed Low Level Solid Waste Packages	Table 5.5-2	Table A.2.5-2
Summary of Packaged Mixed Low Level Waste Volume	Table 5.5-3	Table A.2.5-3
System Level Summary of Low Activity Liquid Waste	Table 5.6-1	Table A.2.6-1
System Level Summary of Hazardous Solid Waste	Table 5.7-1	Table A.2.7-1
System Level Summary of Hazardous Liquid Waste	Table 5.8-1	Table A.2.8-1
System Level Summary of Non-Hazardous Solid Waste	Table 5.9-1	Table A.2.9-1
System Level Summary of Non-Hazardous Liquid Waste	Table 5.10-1	Table A.2.10-1

## A.2.1 High Level Solid Waste

		Table A.2	2.1-1		
	System Leve	el Summary Of		id Waste	
	Waste	•		e Volume (m³)	
System	Characterization Code	Operational	Job Control	Maintenance	Subtotal
Fuel Receipt					
Shearing/Dissolving					
Offgas					
UREX					
U/Tc Separation					
Tc Solidification	HNSA	0.4			0.4
U Solidification					
CCD/PEG					
Cs/Sr Solidification	HHSE	9.8			9.8
TRUEX					
FP Solidification	HNSC	15.5			15.5
TALSPEAK					
U/TRU					
Solidification					
Acid Recovery					
Solvent Recovery					
HAW					
LAW					
Waste Handling					
Analytical					
Chemical Receipt					
Balance of Plant					
Subtotal		25.7			25.7
TOTAL			25.7		

The following summarizes the waste volumes presented above in terms of waste packages based on the assumptions outlined in Section 5.1 of the report.

<b>Table A.2.1-2</b>							
	Summary Of High Level Solid Waste Packages						
Universal Containe	Universal Containers High Level Waste Containers Engineered Containers						
Annual Waste Volume	Annual	Annual Waste Volume	Annual	Annual Waste Volume	Annual		
$(m^3)$	Package	$(m^3)$	Package	$(m^3)$	Package		
	Quantity		Quantity		Quantity		
0.4	0.8	15.5	13.3	9.8	510		

Table A.2.1-3 Summary Of Packaged High Level Solid Waste Volume						
Universal Containe	ers	High Level Waste Co	ntainers	Engineered Contai	ners	
Annual Package Quantity	Annual	Annual Package	Annual	Annual Package	Annual	
(From Table A.2.1-2)	Packaged	Quantity	Packaged	Quantity	Packaged	
	Volume	(From Table A.2.1-2)	Volume		Volume	
	$(m^3)$		$(m^3)$		$(m^3)$	
0.8	0.7	13.3	17.3	510	9.8	

From Table A.2.1-3 above, the overall volume of high level solid waste adjusted for packaging is  $27.8 \text{ m}^3$ .

### A.2.2 GTCC Solid Waste

		Table A.2	2.2-1			
	System Le	evel Summary O	of GTCC Solid	Waste		
	Waste	Annual Waste Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )				
System	Characterization Code	Operational	Job Control	Maintenance	Subtotal	
Fuel Receipt						
Shearing/Dissolving	GNSA	7.3			7.3	
	GNSG			0.1	0.1	
Offgas	GNSE			0.1	0.1	
	GNSG	2.0			2.0	
UREX					0	
U/Tc Separation	GNSE			0.1	0.1	
	GNSG		72.8		72.8	
Tc Solidification	GNSG	0.1	72.8		72.9	
U Solidification					0	
CCD/PEG	GNSG		72.8		72.8	
Cs/Sr Solidification	GNSE			1.5	1.5	
	GNSG		109.3		109.3	
TRUEX	GNSE			3.4	3.4	
FP Solidification					0	
TALSPEAK	GNSE			6.8	6.8	
	GNSG		72.8		72.8	
U/TRU	GNSE			0.5	0.5	
Solidification						
	GNSG	1.0	109.3	1.3	111.6	
Acid Recovery					0	
Solvent Recovery					0	
HAW					0	
LAW					0	
Waste Handling	GNSG	0.6			0.6	
Analytical						
Chemical Receipt						
Balance of Plant						
Subtotal		11	509.8	13.8	534.6	
TOTAL			534.6			

The following summarizes the waste volumes presented above in terms of waste packages based on the assumptions outlined in Section 5.2 of the report.

<b>Table A.2.2-2</b>							
	Summary Of GTCC Solid Waste Packages						
Universal Co	Universal Containers Engineered Containers "Standard" Containers						
Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual		
Waste	Package	Waste	Package	Waste	Package		
Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Quantity	Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Quantity	Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Quantity		
7.3	8.8	12.4		514.9	402.3		

Table A.2.2-3 Summary Of Packaged GTCC Solid Waste Volume						
Universal Cont	Universal Containers Engineered Containers "Standard" Containers					
Annual Package	Annual	Annual Package	Annual	Annual Package	Annual	
Quantity	Packaged	Quantity	Packaged	Quantity	Packaged	
(From Table A.2.2-2)	Volume	(From Table A.2.2-2)	Volume	(From Table A.2.2-2)	Volume	
	$(m^3)$		$(m^3)$		$(m^3)$	
8.8	7.9	Unknown	15.5	402.3	683.9	
			$(12.4 \div 0.80)$			

From Table A.2.2-3 above, the overall volume of GTCC solid waste adjusted for packaging is  $707.3 \, \text{m}^3$ .

### A.2.3 Mixed GTCC Solid Waste

<b>Table A.2.3-1</b>								
System Level Summary Of Mixed GTCC Solid Waste								
	Waste		<b>Annual Was</b>	te Volume (m³)				
System	Characterization Code	Operational	Job Control	Maintenance	Subtotal			
Fuel Receipt								
Shearing/Dissolving								
Offgas								
UREX								
U/Tc Separation								
Tc Solidification	GHSE			0.5	0.5			
U Solidification								
CCD/PEG								
Cs/Sr Solidification	GHSE			2.6	2.6			
TRUEX								
FP Solidification	GHSE			4.3	4.3			
TALSPEAK								
U/TRU								
Solidification								
Acid Recovery								
Solvent Recovery								
HAW								
LAW								
Waste Handling								
Analytical								
Chemical Receipt								
Balance of Plant								
Subtotal				7.4	7.4			
TOTAL			7.4					

Since all the mixed GTCC waste identified in Table A.2.3-1 is designated to be disposed of in engineered containers that are yet to be designed, no equivalent package quantity is calculated. Assuming an 80% packing efficiency and a 5% increase in volume from the package itself, the packaged mixed GTCC waste volume is  $9.7 \text{ m}^3$  ( $7.4 \div 0.80 \times 1.05 = 9.7$ ).

### A.2.4 Low Level Solid Waste

		Table A.2	2.4-1							
	System Leve	el Summary Of	Low Level Solid	d Waste						
	Waste Annual Waste Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )									
System	Characterization Code	Operational	Job Control	Maintenance	Subtotal					
Fuel Receipt	LNSE	6.0			6.0					
•	LNSH	4.2		1.0	5.2					
	LNSL	18.1	447.5	1.8	467.4					
Shearing/Dissolving	LNSE		0	3.4	3.4					
	LNSL		310.7	0.4	311.1					
Offgas	LNSE	13.5	0	0.3	13.8					
	LNSL		21.7	0	21.7					
UREX	LNSE		0	4.9	4.9					
	LNSL		130.6	0	130.6					
U/Tc Separation	LNSE		0	1.5	1.5					
•	LNSL		55.7	1.3	57.0					
Tc Solidification	LNSE		0	0.8	0.8					
	LNSL		66.0	1.3	67.3					
U Solidification	LNSE		0	3.4	3.4					
	LNSL		251.7	1.6	253.3					
CCD/PEG	LNSE		0	4.9	4.9					
	LNSL		49.5	0	49.5					
Cs/Sr Solidification	LNSE		0	1.1	1.1					
	LNSL		150.7	0.5	151.2					
TRUEX	LNSE		0	2.1	2.1					
	LNSL		130.6	0	130.6					
FP Solidification	LNSE		0	7.2	7.2					
	LNSL		374.6	1.4	376.0					
TALSPEAK	LNSE		0	2.1	2.1					
	LNSL		49.5	0	49.5					
U/TRU	LNSE		0	0.2	0.2					
Solidification	LNSL	0.4	132.1	0.9	133.4					
Acid Recovery	LNSE		0	2.7	2.7					
	LNSL		68.4	1.3	69.7					
Solvent Recovery	LNSE		0	10.3	10.3					
	LNSL		464.4	0	464.4					
HAW	LNSE		0	6.3	6.3					
	LNSL		117.5	0	117.5					
LAW	LNSE		0	3.5	3.5					
	LNSL		117.5	0	117.5					
Waste Handling	LNSL	16.8	803.3	0.6	820.7					
Analytical	LNSL		1,206.7	0.9	1,207.6					
Chemical Receipt			0	0	0					
Balance of Plant	LNSE		0	17.2	17.2					
	LNSL		5,068.4	5,015.5	10,083.9					
	LNSS	150.0	0	0	150.0					
Subtotal		209.0	10,017.1	5,100.4	15,326.5					
TOTAL			15,326.5							

The following tables summarize the waste volumes presented above in terms of waste packages based on the assumptions outlined in Section 5.4 of the report. These tables correlate to Tables 5.4-2 and 5.4-3 in the body of the report.

	Table A.2.4-2 Summary Of Low Level Solid Waste Packages											
Engineered C	ontainers	High Inte	grity	St	tandard Containe	rs	Standard Co	ntainer				
		Containers			$(2.5 \text{ m}^3)$		(1.2 m	3)				
Annual Waste	Annual	Annual Waste	Annual	Annual Waste	Annual	Annual	Annual Waste	Annual				
Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Package	Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Package	Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Package	Package	Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Package				
	Quantity		Quantity		Quantity	Quantity		Quantity				
	(Uncompacted) (Compacted)											
91.4		5.2	32.5	15,079.9	7,540	1,508.0	150.0	125				

	Table A.2.4-3 Summary Of Packaged Low Level Solid Waste Volume											
Engineered C	ontainers	High Integrity Co	ontainers	Standard Conta (2.5 m <sup>3</sup> )	ainers	Standard Cont (1.2 m <sup>3</sup> )	ainer					
1 111		4 10 6	. 1	, ,	. 1	/						
Annual Waste	Annual	Annual Quantity of	Annual	Annual Quantity of	Annual	Annual Quantity of	Annual					
Volume	Packaged	Waste Packages	Packaged	Waste Packages	Packaged	Waste Packages	Packaged					
$(m^3)$	Waste	(From Table	Waste	(From Table	Waste	(From Table	Waste					
	Volume	A.2.4-2)	Volume	A.2.4-2)	Volume	A.2.4-2)	Volume					
	$ (m^3) \qquad (m^3) \qquad (m^3) \qquad (m^3) $											
91.4	114.3	32.5	8.2	7,540	18,850	125	150.0					

From Table A.2.4-3 above, the overall volume of packaged low level solid waste is 19,122.5 m<sup>3</sup>. Allowing for compaction as indicated in Table A.2.4-2, the packaged low level solid waste volume can be reduced to 4,042.5 m<sup>3</sup>.

### A.2.5 Mixed Low Level Solid Waste

Table A.2.5-1 System Level Summary Of Mixed Low Level Solid Waste								
System	Characterization Code	Operational	Job Control	Maintenance	Subtotal			
Fuel Receipt	LHSD			0.1	0.1			
Shearing/Dissolving	LHSD			0.1	0.1			
	LHSE			0.5	0.5			
Offgas	LHSD			0.1	0.1			
UREX	LHSD			0.1	0.1			
U/Tc Separation	LHSD			0.1	0.1			
Tc Solidification	LHSD			0.1	0.1			
U Solidification	LHSD			0.1	0.1			
CCD/PEG	LHSD			0.1	0.1			
Cs/Sr Solidification	LHSD			0.1	0.1			
	LHSE			0	0			
TRUEX	LHSD			0.1	0.1			
FP Solidification	LHSD			0.1	0.1			
TALSPEAK	LHSD			0.1	0.1			
U/TRU	LHSD			0.1	0.1			
Solidification								
Acid Recovery	LHSD			0.1	0.1			
Solvent Recovery	LHSD			0.1	0.1			
HAW	LHSD			0.1	0.1			
LAW	LHSD			0.1	0.1			
Waste Handling	LHSD			0.2	0.2			
Analytical	LHSD			0.3	0.3			
Chemical Receipt				0	0			
Balance of Plant	LHSD			2.1	2.1			
Subtotal				4.8	4.8			
TOTAL			4.8	1 170				

The following table summarizes the waste volumes presented above in terms of waste packages based on the assumptions outlined in Section 5.5 of the report. This table correlates to Table 5.5-2 in the body of the report.

Table A.2.5-2								
Summary Of Mixed Low Level Solid Waste Packages  Drums Engineered Containers								
Annual Waste Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Annual	Annual Waste Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Annual					
, ,	Package	, ,	Package					
Quantity Quanti								
4.8	48	0.5						

Table A.2.5-3 Summary Of Packaged Mixed Low Level Solid Waste Volume									
Drums		Engineered Contain	ers						
Annual Package Quantity (From Table 5.5-2)	Annual Packaged Volume (m³)	Annual Package Quantity (From Table A.2.5-2)	Annual Packaged Volume (m³)						
48	9.6	Unknown	1.0 (0.5÷0.50)						

From Table A.2.5-3 above, the overall volume of mixed low level solid waste adjusted for packaging is  $10.6 \text{ m}^3$ .

## A.2.6 Low Activity Liquid Waste

		Table A.2.6-	1						
System Level Summary Of Low Activity Liquid Waste									
-	Waste	Volume (liters)							
System	Characterization Code	Operational	Job Control	Maintenance	Subtotal				
Fuel Receipt	LNLD			13	13				
Shearing/Dissolving	LNLD			15	15				
Offgas	LNLD			13	13				
UREX	LNLD			10	10				
U/Tc Separation	LNLD			5	5				
Tc Solidification	LNLD			13	13				
U Solidification	LNLD			13	13				
CCD/PEG	LNLD			10	10				
Cs/Sr Solidification	LNLD			8	8				
TRUEX	LNLD			20	20				
FP Solidification	LNLD			25	25				
TALSPEAK	LNLD			20	20				
U/TRU				0	0				
Solidification									
Acid Recovery	LNLD			8	8				
Solvent Recovery	LNLD			75	75				
HAW	LNLD			13	13				
LAW	LNLD			13	13				
Waste Handling									
Analytical									
Chemical Receipt									
Balance of Plant									
Subtotal				274	274				
TOTAL			274						

All of the low activity liquid waste listed in Table A.2.6-1 is packaged in drums. Assuming a drum capacity of 200 liters and 90% fill, the total volume of waste listed in Table A.2.6-1 is equivalent to 1.5 drums.

#### A.2.7 Hazardous Solid Waste

		Table A.2.7	-1						
System Level Summary Of Hazardous Solid Waste									
	Waste	-		te Volume (m³)					
System	Characterization Code	Operational	Job Control	Maintenance	Subtotal				
Fuel Receipt	NHSD			0.2	0.2				
Shearing/Dissolving	NHSD			0.3	0.3				
Offgas	NHSD			0.2	0.2				
UREX	NHSD			0.2	0.2				
U/Tc Separation	NHSD			0.2	0.2				
Tc Solidification	NHSD			0.2	0.2				
U Solidification	NHSD			0.2	0.2				
CCD/PEG	NHSD			0.2	0.2				
Cs/Sr Solidification	NHSD			0.2	0.2				
TRUEX	NHSD			0.2	0.2				
FP Solidification	NHSD			0.2	0.2				
TALSPEAK	NHSD			0.2	0.2				
U/TRU	NHSD			0.2	0.2				
Solidification									
Acid Recovery	NHSD			0.2	0.2				
Solvent Recovery	NHSD			0.2	0.2				
HAW	NHSD			0.2	0.2				
LAW	NHSD			0.2	0.2				
Waste Handling	NHSD			0.3	0.3				
Analytical	NHSD			1.3	1.3				
Chemical Receipt	NHSD			0.2	0.2				
Balance of Plant	NHSD			10.5	10.5				
Subtotal				15.8	15.8				
TOTAL			15.8						

All of the hazardous solid waste listed in Table A.2.7-1 is packaged in drums. Assuming a drum capacity of  $0.2 \text{ m}^3$  and a packing efficiency of 50%, the total volume of waste listed in Table A.2.7-1 is equivalent to 158 drums. The packaged volume is, therefore,  $31.6 \text{ m}^3$ .

WH-G-ESR-G-00051 Revision 1 May 22, 2008 Page 55 of 58

## A.2.8 Hazardous Liquid Waste

		Table A	.2.8-1						
System Level Summary Of Hazardous Liquid Waste									
	Waste			Volume (liters)					
System	Characterization Code	Operational	Job Control	Maintenance	Subtotal				
Fuel Receipt									
Shearing/Dissolving									
Offgas									
UREX									
U/Tc Separation									
Tc Solidification									
U Solidification									
CCD/PEG									
Cs/Sr Solidification									
TRUEX									
FP Solidification									
TALSPEAK									
U/TRU									
Solidification									
Acid Recovery									
Solvent Recovery									
HAW									
LAW									
Waste Handling									
Analytical									
Chemical Receipt									
Balance of Plant	NHLD			70	70				
Subtotal				70	70				
TOTAL			70		<u> </u>				

All of the hazardous liquid waste listed in Table A.2.8-1 is packaged in drums. Assuming a drum capacity of 200 liters and 90% fill, the total volume of waste listed in Table A.2.8-1 is equivalent to 0.4 drums.

#### A.2.9 Non-Hazardous Solid Waste

<b>Table A.2.9-1</b>									
System Level Summary Of Non-Hazardous Solid Waste									
	Waste			te Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )					
System	Characterization Code	Operational	Job Control	Maintenance	Subtotal				
Fuel Receipt									
Shearing/Dissolving	NNSU			3.2	3.2				
Offgas	NNSU			1.3	1.3				
UREX	NNSU			1.3	1.3				
U/Tc Separation				0	0				
Tc Solidification				0	0				
U Solidification				0	0				
CCD/PEG	NNSU			1.3	1.3				
Cs/Sr Solidification	NNSU			1.3	1.3				
TRUEX	NNSU			1.3	1.3				
FP Solidification	NNSU			1.3	1.3				
TALSPEAK	NNSU			1.3	1.3				
U/TRU	NNSU			1.3	1.3				
Solidification									
Acid Recovery				0	0				
Solvent Recovery	NNSU			1.3	1.3				
HAW	NNSU			1.3	1.3				
LAW	NNSU			1.3	1.3				
Waste Handling	NNSU			1.3	1.3				
Analytical	NNSU			1.3	1.3				
Chemical Receipt	NNSU			15.2	15.2				
Balance of Plant	NNSU	15,016.3		6,017.0	21,033.3				
Subtotal		15,016.3		6,052.3	21,068.6				
TOTAL			21,068.6						

All of the non-hazardous solid waste listed in Table A.2.9-1 is designated for disposal in undefined packages. Assuming a packing efficiency of 80%, the total volume of waste listed in Table A.2.9-1 is equivalent to 26,335.8 m<sup>3</sup> as packaged.

The use of compaction could decrease the volume of non-hazardous solid waste. To estimate the waste volume considering compaction, it is assumed that only the sanitary solid waste is compacted prior to disposal to 25% of its original volume.  $21,440 \text{ m}^3$  of sanitary solid waste are produced annually for an 800 MTHM/year facility. For a 100 MTHM/year facility, the volume of sanitary waste is estimated to be  $15,008 \text{ m}^3$ . Based on this assumption and an 80% packing efficiency for the remainder of the non-hazardous solid waste, the overall volume of non-hazardous waste could be reduced to  $16,462.8 \text{ m}^3$  [ $(15,008 \times 0.25) + (21,068.6 - 15,008) \div 0.8 = 11,327.8$ ].

## A.2.10 Non-Hazardous Liquid Waste

		Table A.2							
	System Level Summary Of Non-Hazardous Liquid Waste								
g .	Waste		Annual Waste Volume (liters)						
System	Characterization Code	Operational	Job Control	Maintenance	Subtotal				
Fuel Receipt	Couc								
Shearing/Dissolving	NNLD			553	553				
	NNLO	287,500		0	287,500				
Offgas	2.3.2	0		0	0				
UREX		0		0	0				
U/Tc Separation		0		0	0				
Tc Solidification	NNLD	0		553	553				
U Solidification	NNLO	462,500		0	462,500				
CCD/PEG	NNLO	25,000		0	25,000				
Cs/Sr Solidification	NNLD	0		663	663				
	NNLO	75,000		0	75,000				
TRUEX		0		0	0				
FP Solidification	NNLD	0		2,209	2,209				
	NNLO	50,000		0	50,000				
TALSPEAK		0		0	0				
U/TRU	NNLD	0		1,325	1,325				
Solidification									
	NNLO	37,500		0	37,500				
Acid Recovery	NNLO	4,842		0	4,842				
Solvent Recovery		0		0	0				
HAW	NNLO	850,000		0	850,000				
LAW	NNLO	225,000		0	225,000				
Waste Handling	NNLD	0		884	884				
Analytical	NNLD	0		442	442				
Chemical Receipt	NNLD	0		213	213				
Balance of Plant	NNLD	0		2,940	2,940				
	NNLO	176,200,000		2,468,089	178,668,089				
Subtotal		178,217,342		2,477,871	180,695,213				
TOTAL			180,695,213						

<sup>9,782</sup> liters of the non-hazardous liquid waste listed in Table A.2.10-1 is packaged in drums. Assuming a drum capacity of 200 liters and 90% fill, the total volume of waste packaged in drums listed in Table A.2.10-1 is equivalent to 54.4 drums.

## **A.3** Facility Level Adjusted Waste Forecast

Using the adjusted waste volumes in Section A.2 above, the facility level waste data summary in Tables 4.0-1 and 4.0-2 of the report can be revised as shown below for a 100 MTHM/year spent fuel separations facility.

Table A.3-1 Facility Level Waste Generation Summary for a 100 MTHM Spent Fuel Separations Facility		
Waste Type	Volume	
High Level Solid	$25.7 \text{ m}^3$	
GTCC Solid	535 m <sup>3</sup>	
Mixed GTCC Solid	8 m <sup>3</sup>	
Low Level Solid	15,327 m <sup>3</sup>	
Mixed Low Level Solid	$4.8 \text{ m}^3$	
Low Activity Liquid	274 liters	
Hazardous Solid	16 m <sup>3</sup>	
Hazardous Liquid	70 liters	
Non-Hazardous Solid	21,069 m <sup>3</sup>	
Non-Hazardous Liquid	181x10 <sup>6</sup> liters	

Table A.3-2			
Packaged Solid Waste Volumes for a			
100 MTHM Spent Fuel Separations Facility			
Waste Type	Packaged Waste	Packaged Waste	
	Volume – No	Volume – With	
	Compaction	Compaction	
	$(m^3)$	$(\mathbf{m}^3)$	
High Level Solid	27.8	Not Applicable	
GTCC Solid	707	Not Applicable	
Mixed GTCC Solid	10	Not Applicable	
Low Level Solid	19,123	4,043	
Mixed Low Level Solid	10.6	Not Applicable	
Hazardous Solid	32	Not Applicable	
Non-Hazardous Solid	26,336	11,328	